EAST HERTS COUNCIL

EXECUTIVE - 1 DECEMBER 2010

REPORT BY EXECUTIVE MEMBER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND CONSERVATION

CASTLE WEIR MICRO HYDRO SCHEME

WARD(S) AFFECTED: Hertford Castle

Purpose/Summary of Report

• To present a capital investment proposal for the installation of a micro hydro generation scheme at Castle Weir, Hertford.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR DECISION BY EXECUTIVE: that		
(A)	the business case for the design and build of a micro hydro scheme at Castle Weir, Hertford, set out within the report be agreed in principle;	
(B)	subject to comments from the meeting of the Environment Scrutiny Committee on 16 November 2010, the decision to proceed with the tender for the design and build of a micro hydro power scheme at Castle Weir, Hertford, be delegated to the Director of Customer and Community Services, in consultation with the Executive Member for Community Safety and Protection.	
RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL : that		
(C)	the sum of £157,500 be submitted for inclusion in the Capital Programme for 2011/12.	

1.0 <u>Background</u>

- 1.1 The Executive of 5 May 2010 considered a report on the refurbishment of the former Castle Hall. Part of that report included reference to the development of a micro hydro scheme adjacent to the Hall at Castle Weir, funding for which is not included in the capital programme. At that meeting it was agreed to refer the development of a business case for the Scheme to the Environment Scrutiny Committee for comment, once the national picture on Feed in Tariff payments had become clear. The Environment Scrutiny Committee considered the proposals for a micro hydro scheme at their meeting on 16 November 2010. The Committee warmly welcomed the project and indicated their acceptance of the business plan.
- 1.2 In order to progress the scheme it is necessary to seek the approval of the Executive on the business case for the project and to request that the decision on how to proceed be delegated to the Director of Customer and Community Services in consultation with the Executive Councillor for the Environment.
- 1.3 The report below sets outs a business plan for the proposed scheme and identifies a draft timetable for the works.
- 2.0 <u>Report</u>
- 2.1 Hydro power systems convert potential energy stored in water at height to kinetic energy through a turbine to produce electricity. A feasibility study has been undertaken for the River Lea and specifically Castle Weir which is close to Hertford Theatre. This has indicated that through the installation of a small scale micro hydro system a significant element of the electricity demand for Hertford Theatre can be generated.
- 2.2 There are two principal types of hydro system- Kaplan and Archimedes screw. Technically the Kaplan type is favoured for this site and typical installation costs for a suitable basic system are estimated at £130,000 plus basic construction

works. At the current time certain renewable energy generation schemes attract what are known as 'Feed in Tariff payments'. In late August these were clarified, firstly in relation to the payments which a Hydro Scheme would attract (as they were originally set up with other renewable energy generation methods in mind). Secondly, the Government lifted the ban on Local Authorities generating their own electricity and selling it to the grid. It is believed that these tariffs will make the installation of a Hydro scheme at Castle Weir significantly more attractive. It is worth noting that Feed in Tariffs are not financed by the Government but through a levy on the cost of energy, which we all pay through our utility bills.

- 2.3 In summary Feed in Tariffs allow the generator, in this case East Herts Council, to be paid a set sum for every unit of energy generated for their own use (19.9p per kW), plus receive an additional export payment of 3p per unit exported to the grid (i.e. not used on site), and of course benefit from the saving in actual electricity not purchased from the national grid. The payments are on a set basis for a full term of 20 years and are linked to the Retail Price Index (RPI). The full payback of this scenario is explained in Essential Reference Paper A, but is estimated to be between 7 and 7.5 years, based on a capital cost of between £157,500 and £165,500 which principally depends on the aesthetic treatment given to the plant house.
- 2.4 A summary of the costs and income from the scheme is shown at **Essential Reference Paper 'B'**.
- 2.5 If the Executive is minded to accept this business case then the tender, planning and consents programme would start in December 2010, with the intention to start on site in June 2011 assuming all the necessary steps progress smoothly.

Activity	Indicative Date
Out to tender	December 2010
Planning Pre-application	December 2010
Seek Environment Agency	December 2010

Consents/ Planning Consent	
Planning Consent Granted	March/April 2011
Confirmation of Microgeneration Certification Scheme Contractor Status (required to achieve tariff payments)	March/April 2011
Tender Award (subject to	April 2011
Planning approval)	
Start on site –assuming 8 week average lead in for turbine	June 2011
Works period (during period of	June/July 2011
lowest flows)	-
Commissioning	July/August 2011

- 2.6 Along with exploring potential payback from the project, officers have been in discussion with the University of Hertfordshire; Renewables East; BRE; and the Environment Agency to discuss appropriate technology. The agreement of the Environment Agency (EA) is key to the success of the project as licences have to be obtained to undertake the works as in theory it interferes with the river flow. The EA's main concern with hydro schemes is one of safety to fish in the river and this is mainly resolved through the use of effective screens. The hydro plant should not be considered a flooding risk by the EA due to the minimal flows through the current sluice gates which are the proposed site for the turbine. The visual aspect of the hydro plant will be minimized due to the proposed location adjacent to the old sluice gates but in many ways it is perhaps beneficial to have some visual presence in order to show case the project. As the site is in a conservation area planning approval for the hydro plant will be required. Informal discussions with Development Control are therefore underway.
- 2.7 Small scale Hydro is a very efficient, reliable, low maintenance technology with a long life expectancy (25 years+) with maintenance likely to be in the region of £800 per year. But the Council is already responsible for the maintenance of the weir and it is anticipated general maintenance (i.e. cleaning of the

intake screens, as an auto cleaner is part of the project proposals) should not increase from that which is currently carried out.

2.8 One of the main attractions for the installation of a hydro scheme at Castle Weir, in addition to the energy saving and significant carbon reduction that would be achieved is the likely high level of public and media interest along with considerable kudos for the authority. Hydro power schemes are relatively rare in the UK and particularly so in a lowland area like Hertford. As well as this, it is believed that this would be one of the first examples of a community theatre in the UK to be powered directly and to a significant degree by hydro electricity. Officers intend to investigate the potential for interpretative signage as part of the scheme, in order to provide an explanation of the technology and some of the history of the site. In addition it is hoped that it may prove feasible to provide a publically visible meter display, either on site or via the East Herts web site, to demonstrate the electricity being produced at any one time.

Background Papers None

Contact Member:	Councillor Malcolm Alexander – Executive Member for Community Safety and Protection
Contact Officers:	Cliff Cardoza – Head of Environmental Services, ext 1698
Report Author:	David Thorogood– Environmental Coordinator

ESSENTIAL REFERENCE PAPER 'A'

Contribution to			
the Council's	Pride in East Herts		
Corporate	Improving standards of the built neighbourhood and		
Priorities/	environmental management in our towns and villages.		
Objectives			
(delete as	Shaping now, shaping the future		
appropriate):	Safeguard and enhance our unique mix of rural and		
	urban communities, ensuring sustainable, economic and		
	social opportunities including the continuation of effective		
	development control and other measures.		
	Leading the way, working together		
	Deliver responsible community leadership that engages		
	with our partners and the public.		
Consultation:	Consultation with the Environment Agency is underway.		
	Least residents will be consulted through the usual		
	planning processes.		
Legal	No specific implications		
Financial:	Estimated costs are based on the installation of a		
	12kW Kaplan turbine system producing 80.000kW		
	per year.		
	In 2009/10 Castle Hall had an annual electricity		
	consumption of 318,000kWhr at a cost of £27,000.		
	Current unit rates for electricity are 7.895p day and		
	5.212p night.		
	Electricity consumption at the Hertford Theatre will		
	vary significantly both seasonally and across the		
	day. The Castle Weir hydro will generate electricity		
	continuously (subject to river levels). At off-peak		
	times the hydro will be generating more electricity		
	than Hertford Theatre can use, and this will be		
	00fed into the Grid. On average, it will deliver		
	approximately 25% of the annual electricity		
	demand of Hertford Theatre based on 09/10 usage.		
	The annual value of electricity produced by the		

turbine	e would be	approxima	tely £22,40	0,
aependant on negotiation with the utility company			/ company	
partner through whom tariff payments are				are
accessed, <u>possibly</u> an additional £1200 per export) per export	
tariff p	ayment. N	ote this add	hitional payr	nent has
been <u>e</u>	<u>excluded</u> fr	om the pay	rback assun	nptions
below.				
Total v	alue of tar 0 vears is	iff plus estil £448 000	mated elect	tricity saved
 Δηριμ 	al mainton	ance is cur	rently estim	ated at
- 711100 FRAA	although t	his will ha fi	unded from	evistina
budae	ts.			UNISULIY
Capita	l costs of t	he turbine a	and plant ar	re estimated
at £130,000. In addition an estimated £27,500 to			27,500 to	
£35,50	00 is requir	ed for civil	works name	ely the plant
house	and assoc	ciated infras	structure giv	ring an
estima	ted total c	apital cost o	of £157,500	to
£165,5	500 . This e	quates to a	n approxim	ate payback
of 7 to	7.4 years	excluding a	any potentia	l benefit
from th	he export t	ariff.		
Other	project cos	sts include :	£320 for En	vironment
Agenc	y Licences	s plus local	newspaper	advertising
and co	osts of the	initial plann	ing applicat	tion.
Licenc	es may ne	ed to be re	quired after	[.] 12 years,
but off	icers inten	d to seek lii	fe time cons	sent as a
matter	of course.	A provisio	n for these l	is included
in the o	capital cos	ts shown		
Sensit	ivity Analy	sis		
The ta	ble below	details the	impact upor	n the
payba	ck period i	n the event	of a 10%, c	or 20%
variatio	<u>on in cos</u> ts	in either di	irection.	
	0%	-10%	+10%	+20%
Basic	£157,500	£141,750	£173,250	£189,000
Construction Works	7 years	6.3 years	7.7 years	8.4 years
Enhanced	£165,500	£148,950	£182,050	£198,600
	7.4 years	6.6 years	8.1 years	8.9 years
	-			
 Note if 	^r energy pr	ices contin	ue to rise , a	as would
seem	likely then	clearly the	value of ele	ctricity

	generated by the turbine and which is used to offset grid electricity will assist with reducing the payback period.
Human Resources	None
Risk Management:	No specific implications

ESSENTIAL REFERENCE PAPER 'B'

Summary of Financial Implications and Payback Period

Capital	
Cost of scheme	£157,500
Revenue	
Income from Feed In Tariff	£15,920
Electricity Generated	£6,480
Total Annual Income	£22,400
Payback Period	7 years

Additional income generated after payback over the 20 year tariff period = $\pounds 290,500$